$\begin{array}{c} \text{AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)} \\ \text{Case 1:12-cr-00206-RHB} \quad \text{ECF No. 13 filed 10/16/12} \quad \text{PageID.19} \quad \text{Page 1 of 1} \\ \end{array}$ 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Elvintie Edrece Droughn	Case No. 1:12-cr-00206-RHB
	Defendant	Case No. 1.12-ci-00200-RHB
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Relefendant be detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – F	indings of Fact
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	s death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable stat	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	nvolves:
		n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu person or the community. I further find that defenda	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe nt has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et	
(=)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption est will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
<b>1</b> (1)		ve Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
(2)		the Reasons for Detention
		he detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
Defenda	ant waived his detention hearing, electing not to conte	est detention pending trial.
	D. CH. Div. C.	Dli D-44i

## Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	October 16, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	